

## Office of the Attorney General State of Texas

DAN MORALES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

March 13, 1998

Mr. Lindsey Roberts Assistant District Attorney Dallas County Frank Crowley Courts Building, LB 19 Dallas, Texas 75207-4399

OR98-0704

Dear Ms. Roberts:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 113472.

The Dallas County District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney") received a request for "copies of the State's files regarding Ricky Morrow, including all unredacted copies of FBI reports." You claim that portions of the requested files are excepted from disclosure under sections 552.103 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and have reviewed the documents at issue.

Initially, we note that some of the submitted documents are court records. Documents filed with the court are public documents and must be released. *See Star-Telegram, Inc. v. Walker*, 834 S.W.2d 54, 57-58 (Tex. 1992).

Section 552.103(a) excepts from disclosure information:

- (1) relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature or settlement negotiations, to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party; and
- (2) that the attorney general or the attorney of the political subdivision has determined should be withheld from public inspection.

Additionally, section 552.103(b) provides that the state or a political subdivision is considered to be a party to litigation of a criminal nature until the defendant has exhausted all post-conviction remedies in state and federal court.

The governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.--Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 (1990) at 4. Therefore, the governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under 552.103(a).

Generally, however, once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). Therefore, information that has either been obtained from or provided to the opposing party in the litigation is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.103(a), and it must be disclosed. You explain that you have released to the requestor information that had already been "turned over to . . . defense counsel through traditional discovery." Thus, you are asserting section 552.103 only as to the remainder of the prosecution file.

After reviewing your arguments and the submitted material, we find that litigation is pending. We also find that the documents you have submitted relate to the litigation and may be withheld from disclosure under section 552.103(a). Finally, we note that the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has been concluded. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

We are resolving this matter with an informal letter ruling rather than with a published open records decision. This ruling is limited to the particular records at issue under the facts presented to us in this request and should not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records. If you have any questions about this ruling, please contact our office.

Yours very truly,
Kluin Hattaway

Karen E. Hattaway

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

KEH/ch

Ref: ID# 113472

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Because we are able to resolve this matter under section 552.103, we need not address your section 552.108 claim at this time.

Ms. Lindsey Roberts - Page 3

Enclosures:

Submitted documents

cc:

Mr. Randy Schaffer Schaffer & Henley

1301 McKinney, Suite 3100 Houston, Texas 77010

(w/o enclosures)